

# A Map

(From the Old Connecticut Path to  
the Rio Grande Valley and All the  
Meaning Inbetween)

presented by  
Chellis Glendinning



Nineteenth Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures  
Salisbury, Connecticut  
October 1999

A  
From the Old Testament  
the King of the  
Heavenly Kingdom  
Chellis



October 1900

# A Map

(From the Old Connecticut Path to  
the Rio Grande Valley and All the  
Meaning Inbetween)

presented by  
Chellis Glendinning

Nineteenth Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures  
First Congregational Church  
Salisbury, Connecticut  
October 1999

E. F. Schumacher Society

A Map

(From the Old Connecticut Path to the Rio Grande Valley and All  
the Meaning Inbetween)

by Chellis Glendinning

Edited by Hildegard Hannum

© 2000 E. F. Schumacher Society and Chellis Glendinning.. May  
be reproduced or transmitted only with permission of the author and  
the E. F. Schumacher Society.

Drawings on front and back covers by Martha Shaw

Printed on recycled paper

E. F. Schumacher Society  
140 Jug End Road  
Great Barrington, MA 01230



# A Map

(From the Old Connecticut Path  
to the Rio Grande Valley and  
All the Meaning Inbetween)

by Chellis Glendinning

Introduction by Kirkpatrick Sale,  
Author and Member of the Board of Directors,  
E. F. Schumacher Society

**I** was reminded, hearing Bill Schambra talk about the bureaucracies in foundations and government and Jerry Mander talk about global bureaucracy, of a story Fritz Schumacher used to tell about three men arguing over which of them had the oldest profession. The doctor argued that doctors and midwives were the oldest profession because they had to see to life coming into being on the earth. The architect said No, before life there had to be a structure to the earth and universe, and it was the architects who, with God, created that on the earth, creating it out of chaos. And the bureaucrat said, Ah, chaos. And who do you think created that?

I was also reminded as I listened to this morning's presentations that there is a marvelous meeting of the right and left when you get down to the bottom. Whereas Bill

Schambra is for self-governance and Jerry Mander is for local empowerment, Chellis Glendinning, as she may presently tell us, is actually for the secession and independence of the county where she lives in New Mexico, Rio Arriba County. So you see that you can come to this business of decentralization from all kinds of political perspectives, and, regardless of which one, it still is the bedrock truth.

I have been preoccupied with technology, which Jerry pointed out this morning threads through almost all the other ideas and themes that are before us in the world today, and this is why I'm writing a book about Robert Fulton and the technology of the American dream. But of course the point that Jerry made, and others need to make, is that technology per se is not what it's really all about. The French philosopher Jacques Ellul called it the technological milieu as opposed to the social and the natural milieus. The technological milieu has now become transcendent over the other two. Schumacher also talked about this, about what he called industrial society.

In his book *Good Work* Schumacher asked:

Why should industrial society fail? Why should the spiritual evils it produces lead to worldly failure? From a severely practical point of view, I should say this:

1. It has disrupted, and continues to disrupt, certain organic relationships in such a manner that world population is growing, apparently irresistibly, beyond the means of subsistence.

2. It is disrupting certain other organic rela-



tionships in such a manner as to threaten those means of subsistence themselves, spreading poison, adulterating food, etc.

3. It is rapidly depleting the earth's nonrenewable stocks of scarce mineral resources—mainly fuels and metals.

4. It is degrading the moral and intellectual qualities of man while further developing a highly complicated way of life the smooth continuance of which requires ever-increasing moral and intellectual qualities.

5. It breeds violence—a violence against nature which at any moment can turn into violence against one's fellow men.

Schumacher is talking about technology, yes, but he's talking about a technological society and what will happen to it, and that's the concept we have to keep in front of us, looking for the pattern behind the ideas that are being presented to us today.

So it is the milieu, the technological milieu, and the culture as a whole from which it stems, that is the danger for us all, and no one understands this better, I think, than our next speaker, a brave and dedicated warrior for many years in the contest against this deadly force. She's a psychologist and an activist and the author of several books, including one with the wonderful title, *My Name is Chellis and I'm in Recovery from Western Civilization* (she's very fortunate because most of us are not yet in recovery). She is a real person doing real work on the ground with Chicanos and Indians where she lives in New

*Mexico, and she has just been appointed to the Land Authority of Río Arriba County. She works there daily, bringing her insights to that life and taking the insights of that life into her, which is in effect what her newest book is about. She's consented to bring to us today her deep wisdom, commitment, and inspiration along with her sparkle and laughter: Chellis Glendinning.*

[Music is playing through the sound system, a "corrido" or story-song by Tobias Rene of Albuquerque. It is about the legendary Mexican revolutionary Valentín de la Sierra.]

I come to you from a place where the earth is pink. Where the sky rises like a cathedral of blue. I come to you from a place where the river weaves through the villages like a string of sheep's wool. Where men's jeans are made threadbare by seasons of mending fences. Where women know the plants. I come to you from a place where people speak a language that is called Spanish but to the student of language is in fact indecipherable because it's peppered with the history of Aztec and Tewa and Navajo—all blended together like green chile stew.

I live in Chimayó, New Mexico. In the Tewa language the place was originally called Tsi Mayoh. It's disputed whether that means "flaked obsidian" or "the place where two rivers meet." The Santa Cruz River snakes down from the Sangre de Cristo mountains and flows through the village. Everywhere smaller waterways weave. They are called "las acequias" or irrigation ditches, canals dug with



shovels to divert the water to the houses and fields and then back to the river again. From an ecological perspective, these canals extend the riparian areas with their birds and small animals into the dry terrain.

The land is desert uplands, about 6200 feet above sea level. Badlands, "los cerros" or hills, and strangely shaped sand ridges called "barrancas," surround us—and in the midst of this desert lies Tsi Mayoh, an oasis of cottonwoods, of piñon and olive, of chile, corn, tomatoes, and apples. We have a system of barter that is centuries old. Each village has a specialty: Velarde, apples; El Valle, sheep; Chimayó, chile; San Luis, up in Colorado, potatoes.

The people in the village are a beautiful mix. Some of the blood comes from Europe: Spanish, Moorish, Jewish; some comes from Mexico: Aztec, Mayan, Toltec; and some from those who have inhabited the land for ages: Tewa, Navajo, Apache. In the slang of the Pachuco, which is the urban Chicano renegade culture of the 1940s and 1950s, the village is called Chima. And Chima is where I live.

It has become indistinguishable from who I am. I had a visitor from California recently ("Califas" in Pachuco), and all she wanted to do was talk about what's inside the skin—feelings, perceptions, analyses—which I found to be a familiar mode, having moved to the area from Califas, but a mode that had grown foreign and old and small. When I first came to New Mexico, I had an inkling this would happen. Once, when talking with the Tewa scholar and author of *Tewa World*, Alfonso Ortiz, I asked, "When Native people get together, do they talk about themselves? Do they say: I feel this, I had this experience, in my

childhood this happened?" He didn't give me an answer; instead, he laughed uproariously. So sure enough, in time, with the help of the pink earth and the barrancas, the vaqueros and the *curanderas*-healers, who I am has expanded beyond this skin to become the land and the village and the history and the purpose and the soul of the place. As my friend the poet and social activist Jaime Chavez writes in a poem called "Ventanas":

Camino encapotado en hierbas  
bebiendo de las aguas  
dando a la tierra;  
cada estación llena  
las huellas de este jornada  
reclamando vida  
entre las cosas simples  
hambriento con la esperanza  
y promesa  
escondido en la tierra

I walk cloaked in herbs  
drinking from the waters  
giving unto the earth;  
each season fills  
the tracks of this journey  
claiming life  
among simple things  
starved in the hope  
and promise  
hidden in the land

We all have stories about relationship to place. In 1991 I went to the World Uranium Hearing in Salzburg, Austria, a gathering of indigenous peoples: Mongolians, South Pacific Islanders, people from Chile, from Africa, Alaska, and the American Southwest. These indigenous people from all over the world came together to talk about the effects of nuclear technology on their lands, cultures, and health. I made a pilgrimage to this gathering as a member of the Board of Listeners, and my job was to sit in the audience for five days and *listen*.<sup>4</sup> So I took my cowboy boots, and while I was there, I spent time with the Native peoples from the Southwest, from my own bioregion—Navajo, Apache, and Pueblo Indians. I was especially struck by one man named Rex Tilousi, who was startlingly calm and humble. He was the governor of the Havasupai, the caretakers of the Grand Canyon. But I saw him only when he was on stage. I asked a Keres man from Acoma Pueblo why that was, and he said, “He’s homesick; he feels so out of himself, so disconnected from the Grand Canyon that he is grieving in his hotel room.”

Another story comes to me from my friend Larry Emerson, a Navajo from Shiprock, New Mexico. He’s an educator, and he’s training in the medicine ways of his tribe. Larry tells me that when he travels from his people’s land to another people’s land—in this case, the Ute—he stops in the boundary lands and says prayers. He says good-bye to his homeland and his people, and he prays to honor the sacredness of the other people’s place. I think these two stories say a lot about what lies beyond our skin, about what it means to be a real human being and to be connected to place, what it means *to be made of one’s place*.



For myself, it is strange that fate landed me in a desert village in the Río Grande valley. My people are Celt and Germani, hailing from the northern forests of Europe and eventually from many of the nation-states of northern Europe: from Wales, Scotland, Ireland, and England, from Holland, Belgium, France, and Germany. The first of my people to come to this continent was the Reverend Thomas Hooker with his family—his wife, Susanna Garbrand, and their children Joanna, Mary, Sarah, John, and Samuel. They came first, in 1633, to the Massachusetts Bay Colony. There a political-philosophical argument ensued, with Thomas Hooker on the liberal side. After all, he had been banished from England for his radicalism and nonconformity. As it's told in my family, Hooker wanted the right to vote to be granted to *all* the men in the church, not just the land-owning men. The argument went so badly that Hooker left the Colony on May 31, 1636, with the members of his family and a hundred followers and, quoting from a journal, "160 cattle and fed of their milk by the way." They headed south through the thick forest along the Old Connecticut Path and found the place called Suckiaug, which in Pequot means "black earth" and later became Hartford, with its fine meadows between the Connecticut River and the Little River. There they joined settlers who had already made that journey from Newtown (now Newton, Massachusetts).

My ancestor's focus was the spiritual uplifting of the parish. His goal was to make New England a positive model against the spiritual corruptness of Old England. However, as it says in Hooker's biography, "He preached on Sundays and fought Indians the rest of the week." Lo

and behold! He was involved in spearheading what the history books call the first major Indian—but what I call European—war. A thousand Pequot were killed, some of their heads and hands sent back to Boston, and five hundred were taken as slaves. Thus was founded the Colony of Connecticut.

What I'm doing here is presenting a map of the forging of empire on this continent and pointing out the immense ironies involved. We are all inheritors of this map. As the Arab-American social critic Edward Said has put it, "Hardly any North American, African, European, Latin American, Indian, Caribbean, or Australian individual—the list is very long—who is alive today has not been touched by the empires of the past." Not Pequot or English, not Mapuche or Spanish, not Tibetan or Chinese. If we're talking about empire, we're talking about a system that we all depend on for survival, that we've all been harmed by, that we have learned to critique and in many cases have come to abhor. Whether we call it too big, too cruel, or too much in denial, whether we refer to it as mass technological civilization, patriarchy, the dominant society, or the global economy, the empire I'm presenting to you—this map of expansion and domination has affected every single person in this room.

My own people went on to extend their appetite for land beyond Connecticut's watershed. They formed the Connecticut Land Company in 1797 and traveled directly west to colonize what they called the Western Reserve of Ohio, just south of Lake Erie. A hundred and fifty years earlier Massachusetts Bay Colony Governor John Winthrop had said, "Westward the course of empire takes its way." And

indeed, my people headed out with wooden carts and again battled Natives, in this case the Shawnee and the Delaware. I have photographs of my great-grandfather on horseback on the land that was acquired in Defiance, Ohio. But that wasn't enough. Flowing with the economic tide, they then embarked on another journey. They moved north to Cleveland to amass not fortunes but at least bank accounts alongside and in the wake of industrialists Andrew Carnegie and John D. Rockefeller. These were the magnates whose steel production, shipping, and railroads made Cleveland what I grew up being told was "the stepping stone to the East." It was not the much feared, savage-ridden West.

Oddly and perhaps inevitably I, their descendant, ended up crossing the continent to that much feared and savage-ridden West. I too traveled there along the highways of empire, in my case on a United Airlines flight in 1967, but with a different kind of defiance. And here I am, a Connecticut girl from Ohio, now living with Native peoples, dedicating my caring and talents to the survival of their place-based, bioregional, community-oriented, ecological ways. And perhaps most importantly, learning for all of us how we may survive.

And now the map turns to sustenance: A maíz y chile y alazán y calabazitas y al agua, siempre al agua y también a la música y la comunidad y el espíritu (to corn and chile and elk and squash and to water, always water, and to music and community and spirit).

In order to re-map the dominant society, we must turn to this kind of awareness, to this kind of place-based sustainability. But because the wholeness of the ways of our lives have been so fragmented by what is required to



conduct the bigness of empire, we—like Rex Tilousi in a cold hotel room in Salzburg—are left with disparate parts disconnected from one another. What I can tell you, from a place where the earth is pink and people grow chile and hunt elk, is that we were right, and we are right. Living in land-based community, making the future with our bodies, knowing the seasons and the land *is* a better way. It feels better; it looks better; it politics better; it lives better. For myself, I am in a constant state of amazement that I have the opportunity to live my life, not according to a bird's-eye-view map of nonsustainability limited to visual cues but rather according to the cartography of a living, breathing, dreaming experience of sustainability.

Every day in Chima is a day of low-level glee for me, and so I'd like to tell you a little bit about the village. Traditionally the villages of northern New Mexico have survived by hunting, fishing, growing, and gathering. Women's place is the village: taking responsibility for the garden, the house, and the animals. Women ride horses and hunt if they want to. And there is a power to this place of theirs. It is unlike living in the dominant society, where the power has been sapped out of women's role. There's a big power and a big independence for women in the village. Men's place is the forest, la sierra, the hunting grounds, and it is their responsibility to provide for the village.

When I was growing up in Cleveland, I lived in a four-bedroom brick house. We had colonial silver and furniture from Connecticut; we had electricity; we had showers, radio, and TV. In 1948 my father bought a Packard. My neighbors in Chima who are my age grew up in one-room mud huts; they had wood stoves and candles; the water

came from the river, and in 1948 the chosen method of transportation was burro. I will tell you that living in this place I've learned to dig irrigation ditches, I've learned to hunt, I've learned to fish. Perhaps oddly—and I think this may be a result of my having been a tomboy and later of the women's movement—I'm attracted to activities that are traditionally male. But I've also learned to grow corn and gather herbs, and I've learned to dance to the music of rancheros y corridos.

I had a lover in the village, a man I call Snowflake Martinez, who became a character in my new book *Off the Map*. Courting begins by somebody coming around in a truck and claiming that his horses have gotten away and he needs to give you his phone number in case you see the renegade animals. (I'd like to make a little aside to the women here and give a big hint to the men: Snowflake would ride over to my house on his mustang at midnight under the full moon wearing a Mexican serape.) This is a man who has always lived in the village. He makes less than \$3000 a year; everything else comes directly from the land.

During the time when I was seeing Snowflake, my kitchen was filled with food from the land. There were eggs with little feathers still stuck on them; there was elk meat that his son had hunted a few days before; there was chile. He didn't grow the chile himself, but a friend of ours did, and Snowflake would repair the friend's four-wheel-drive hunting Bronco and in exchange would get enough chile for the winter. There was apple juice from his grandmother's orchards. Two days before Thanksgiving he would kill the turkey. On my birthday we had a matanza, which means

that we killed Isabel the pig, stripped her down, and put her in a hole in the earth with burning coals. What I learned from all this is that a sense of community with the earth, of satisfaction, of fulfillment is not something you learn in a workshop. It's an everyday experience when your food comes from the earth.

Once I went trout fishing with my friend Antonio DeVargas. We went from stream to stream, through the afternoon and into the night, but the water was too wintry and the fish were still asleep under the rocks. I was thinking, "Why don't we just stop when this obviously isn't working?" But he kept wanting to try another part of the river. Finally, when it got dark, we went back to the village. Some of his friends were waiting in his trailer. There wasn't anything to eat, and so we just sat around and talked. Around ten o'clock I decided to leave. As I was driving away, I realized that because I had money in my pocket, if I wanted something to eat, I could stop along the way. But for them, no fish meant no dinner.

Allow me to make another aside here: When I was first invited to speak at the Schumacher Lectures, I looked at the collection of previous lectures in *People, Land, and Community*. I noticed immediately that the speakers tend to quote other people, so I thought, "Well, I'll have to do that too." Here is my chosen quote: as Eeyore so brilliantly said in *The House at Pooh Corner*, "We have been joined by something." And indeed, in the 1930s roads were built for cars to reach an area that until then had truly been isolated from the outside world. In the 1940s Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, which later became Los Alamos National Laboratory, was built—bringing with it the cash economy



and changing life in northern New Mexico forever. Telephones came in the 1970s. I would say about half the people in the village now have a telephone. Running water didn't come to my village until 1979, which made me realize that the purpose of the ditches was not just to irrigate but to bring water to the houses so you didn't have to walk so far with your pail.

A Wal-Mart Superstore has opened in the nearby town of Española. Now, sadly, in the past year the freeways have suddenly been widened, bringing to us we-don't-yet-know-*quite-what*—but certainly paving the way for more people, more technology, more complexity. And believe it or not, we now have cell-phone towers, so cruelly and undemocratically radiating microwaves. Last fall, after the industry was deregulated by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the number of cell-phone towers in Española went from zero to eight, in Chimayó from zero to two. What are these towers for if not to provide for a growing consumer population? Before I left New Mexico to come here, when people asked me where I was going, I told them I was coming to Connecticut to talk about life in our village. One person said, "Well, don't tell them to come here!"

The constant theme, then, is the chronic and unwelcome interface of that-which-we-have-been-joined-by with sustainable ways. And these interfaces are striking indeed. Let me tell you a story that comes from Laguna Pueblo, about forty miles west of Albuquerque. The people of the pueblo decided they needed a new tribal truck. Someone called up the car lot in Albuquerque where they had previously gotten trucks and asked what was available. The salesperson said, "I have a fax for you." So the Laguna man

drove all the way to Albuquerque. He walked into the carlot office and said, "I'm here for my fax."

Another story comes from Snowflake Martinez. In the late 1980s a friend asked him to fly to Cincinnati to help drive a car back to New Mexico and mailed him a plane ticket. He had never traveled that far before, and he had never flown on an airplane. Then, right before he left, Snowflake got a call saying that the car had broken down. He got ready to go, and what did he take with him? What would you take if you were going on an airplane trip? Would you take money? He didn't take money. He wasn't used to using money. Did he take the phone number of the person he was going to meet? He wasn't used to using the phone, so he didn't take the phone number. There was only one thing he felt was important and relevant to take: *he took his tool box to fix the car.*

What we have here are stories illustrating clashes of consciousness. These clashes don't merely exist in a vacuum: they inevitably lead to politics. In our area they lead to La Raza, to La Huelga, Si Se Puede, Tierra o Muerte. Once when I was riding in the badlands with Snowflake, I asked him for his impression of the state of the world. He thought for a long time. The saddles creaked. Tumbleweeds bounced by. Now remember, English is not his first language. Snowflake said, "*The down-to-earth people are finishing.*" Another time, an old friend asked me what my politics had grown to be, and before I could launch into my 45-minute rap about the global economy, Bretton Woods, and the World Trade Organization, Snowflake—who really didn't want to hear it all again—cut in and said, "*She is against the chain stores.*"

Hey! Why write a book?

In the 1980s an unsuspecting multinational banking institution decided to set up an ATM machine in Chimayó. The thing was installed where the apple shed with the portal had been. The horses used to escape from nearby pastures to stand in the shade under the portal. In a belligerent mood about this invasion by the cash economy, the men of the village got together, took their hunting rifles, and went and shot the ATM machine to pieces.

You can see why I like living there.

In 1997 a Canadian mining corporation called Summo wanted to set up a copper mine in an area that was officially Bureau of Land Management land, but everybody in the area knew it was really the land of the Picurís Pueblo tribe. According to the laws of the World Trade Organization and the 1872 Mining Act of New Mexico, the Summo Corporation had every right to set up this endeavor. The obligatory hearing was held, and the Picurís governor and a group of warriors went. Their approach was to take the process seriously—even though the Summo people were obviously present only as a matter of form. The Picurís governor stood up and said, “If you put in this copper mine, we will *go to war with you*.” The Summo Corporation fled.

It took me thirty-five years of being an activist and seven social-change movements, from the civil rights and women’s movements to the environmental and indigenous rights movements, to arrive at a comprehensive critique of mass dominant society. What I appreciate about living in Chimayó—having the honor to live in this place, to be a guest in this place—is that the people hold a comprehensive critique in their bones. They’re born with it. And they



express it with so few words.

The stories I've told you have to do with land and livelihood. What it all comes down to is that access to land makes sustainability possible. The empire's primary mode of usurpation is to steal land. And indeed, the North Americans stole the land of my compadres en Nuevo México.

The old land system was based on land grants, the central unit of sustainability and cultural vitality. Each land grant is an area that extends beyond the village, usually into the mountains. It is big enough to provide sufficient food from hunting and fishing, big enough to sustain the village and no bigger. It includes the area where you go to find medicines, to get dirt to make roofs, twigs to make brooms. *Just big enough.* Chimayó joined the villages of Truchas and Córdova to form a single land grant. It is called La Merced Nuestra Señora del Rosario San Fernando y Santiago, otherwise known as the Truchas Land Grant. After the Mexican-American War in 1848 the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo mandated that the U.S. Government was to honor the land grants in the territories won from Mexico. Within the next hundred years, however, the land grants were systematically stolen by the U. S. Court of Public Land Claims, which, in line with Eeyore's insight, came in and made bogus surveys. The Truchas Land Grant, for instance, originally had 49,000 acres. By the time the surveyors were through, there were only 7000.

Some of the land grants were stolen by lawyers and land speculators. The bigwigs from Santa Fe came and said: "Oh, you're having trouble with the Court of Public Land Claims? I'm a lawyer and I can help you." The

lawyers would do whatever they did, successfully or not, and then they'd say, "Now you have to pay me." Of course the people didn't have money, and so the lawyers said, "Oh well, you can give us land instead." Some of the land was even stolen directly by the government, which put up signs and barbed wire and redefined the land as "public lands." A good deal of U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management land was originally Native and Chicano land grants. As my friend Lorenzo Valdez, now the Río Arriba County Manager, told me, "The real merced went away. They left us with only enough land to raise rattlesnakes."

In places where land and community are intertwined, history is not forgotten. In places where land and community are inseparable, the injustices of imperialism are not relegated to the past. The land-grant struggle is still alive today. In the 1960s Representative Joseph Montoya, the first Chicano Congressman from New Mexico, introduced a bill in the House of Representatives to right the injustices. In 1967, a time of great tumult around the country, the Río Arriba County courthouse was taken over by land-grant activists who were inventing their own authority to take the land back. In 1997 we were amazed to receive a message from Subcomandante Insurgente Marcos, leader of the Chiapan Zapatista rebels, supporting us in our struggle for the return of the land grants and for the right to continue our way of life. In 1998, miracle of all miracles, a Congressman from New Mexico, Representative Bill Redmond, introduced a bill to study the land-grant situation. The bill actually passed in the House, but it never got to a vote in the Senate because the Monica Lewinsky debacle took center stage. In 1999, this year, our Senators Bingaman and

Domenici are trying to put through a similar bill that would address the injustice.

The map I'm trying to draw exists against the backdrop of the global economic empire with all its power and bigness, its ugliness and injustices. And yet, I think it's crucial for us to remember that what I'm talking about is really *a map of love*. In today's world loving the earth is a political act. Loving your community is a political act, and the effort to attain sustainability becomes the basis for politics. I'd like to give another quote, this one from Che Guevara: "Déjeme decirle, a riesgo de parecer ridículo, que el revolucionario verdadero esta guido por grandes sentimientos de amor"; "Let me tell you, at risk of seeming ridiculous, the true revolutionary is guided by great feelings of love."

The real story of our times is not one of conflict, fear, and hate between one massive unsustainable system called capitalism and another massive unsustainable system called communism. I think the story that sets the stage for our lives is a story of love. It's the story of the love on the part of the decolonization movements and the liberation movements from the 1940s to the 1960s, movements having to do with land, community, and sustainability, movements resulting in miracles of human dedication and heroism, accomplishment and creativity. It's unbelievable that after three hundred years India broke from England in 1947. Vietnam broke from France in 1954, Morocco from France in 1956, Cuba from U.S. influence in 1959, Nigeria and Uganda from England in 1960, Algeria from France in 1962. One hundred new nations rose up—peoples who before colonization had been sustainable within and among



themselves, peoples who by dint of their heroic and loving effort threw off the yoke of imperialism.

These are not stories we are told. I was born in 1947, and I was never told that was the year India freed itself from England. It was never mentioned. Of course, the tragedy is that these new nations entered into a world whose economic and political exigencies made them pawns in a struggle between global Cold-War systems that, by military and economic power, extinguished their chances for self-defined sustainability. And the tragedy at this late stage of globalization is the same, for the economic and political exigencies of a corporate-controlled world are everywhere limiting the chances for sustainability. Yet the effort to attain sustainability is the most important task on the planet. It includes opposing imperialism and protesting globalization. It includes being in place, being in community, being in love with the earth, and making sure that the down-to-earth people do *not* finish.

This is the map I want to pass on to you—whether you reside in Chima fighting for a still-alive land-based culture or in Connecticut creating land trusts—what we in New Mexico might call modern land grants. Or in Havana, Cuba, where every single apartment has a garden and organic agriculture is a national policy. Or in the inner cities of the United States where people are growing food in bureau drawers they pick up at used-furniture stores. Or in Chiapas, Mexico, where people are struggling to save Native land-based cultures and livelihoods. We all face the same challenge: to choose sustainability, to choose the small and human scale, to choose, quite frankly, life itself. Because at this very moment, all life stands luminous and

fragile against the destructive forces of mass dominant civilization. Life meaning this breath, life meaning what you give your talents and care to, life meaning that you are willing to die in pursuit of your goals. Subcommandante Marcos speaks from a place where issues of sustainability versus unsustainability are played out to gargantuan proportion when he says, "Here we are, the forever dead, dying once again, but now in order to live." And as his hero Emilio Zapata once said: "*Es mejor morir de pie, que continuar viviendo de rodillas*"; "It is better to die on your feet than to continue living on your knees." And so our map too: to give our lives for the death of the old toward the life of what Helena Norberg-Hodge calls ancient futures.

I'd like to close with the words passed on to me by my friend Lucy Lippard, the art critic and author, whose most recent book is *The Lure of the Local*. She, like me, lives in a small village in northern New Mexico, Galisteo, where one of her neighbors said to her, "When you get old, Lucy, you will go back to *your* country."

So here I am, growing old, and here I am, having traveled from the Old Connecticut Path to the Río Grande Valley and back again.

[Music: "Valentín de la Sierra"]

### Excerpts from Question and Answer period

*I'd like to ask about the effect of casino life in New Mexico. I think there are twelve casinos in the environs of Santa Fe and one right near you in Española.*

It's a thorny issue. At first I thought, "Oh no, this means gambling addiction, perhaps prostitution, poor and working class people losing their homes from gambling, increased alcohol and drug use, all these dreadful consequences." But I quickly came to the realization that the underlying issue is sovereignty for the Native people. It's a conflict of values to say, on the one hand, this isn't a healthy activity and, on the other, I believe in sovereignty. Restoring the sovereignty the empire took away is what, at this moment, I favor.

On "Native American Calling," a national radio program that comes out of Albuquerque, someone asked the question, "Is it going against the sovereignty of the nation-state of Mexico for Native peoples from North America to send a contingent from the United States to Chiapas?" I think this is a question that only the Native mind would concoct. People from the dominant society would take it for granted that they could go. The answer that emerged on the program was that the Mexican government itself does not have proper sovereignty because it is linked so tightly with multinational control and oppression. Its policies are not land based, not watershed based and not community based. Therefore, it is right for Native people from the United States to go to Chiapas to witness and help their brothers and sisters of the tribes there.



Questions of land-based sovereignty are fascinating and, for those of us interested in bioregional sustainability, challenging. We need to address the issue of sovereignty and master its complexity if we are to create the kind of world we want to live in.

\* \* \* \*

*Given all the forces moving us in the other direction, do you really think the world is ready for sustainability? It doesn't seem to be popular.*

I can't answer for the world, but one thing I know is that the dominant population of the earth is *not* people who live in the United States and have jobs and are able to support themselves within this system—in other words, pay the rent and have food on the table. Rather, most people in the world are living in poverty—like the half-million demonstrators in Bangalore, India, wearing threadbare saris and walking barefoot, who know more about biotech's negative impacts than you or I. Speaking personally, I feel that when it's a matter of the survival of life on the planet, regardless of whether it's popular in a particular milieu or isn't, I am not deterred from doing all I can to fight for life. It behooves every single one of us to find ways in which we as individuals can become sustainable, whether we live in a land-based community in New Mexico or in the city of Hartford. It behooves us to create institutions of sustainability, and it behooves us to challenge the forces that are destroying life and sanity.

\* \* \* \*

*Is education the answer for oppressed peoples?*

In northern New Mexico there's a Native American preparatory school that graduated its first class this year, and all the graduates are going to college. Education is prized on the reservation, but it's also viewed with some ambivalence. I have a number of Chicano friends who work in higher education, and they are helping young people get scholarships to go to school. One friend works for an education and economic opportunity center, and he is extremely ambivalent about what he's doing. He has a Ph.D., but this means he's disconnected from the land. He tries to fish and grow corn, but he just squeezes these activities in on the side. Although he really wants others to have the opportunity he's had, on the other hand he asks himself, "Opportunity for what? Education for what? To participate in the global economy?"

**Concluding remarks**

I've talked a lot today, so I thought I'd conclude by reading a passage from the last part of my book *Off the Map*. It begins with an illustrious quote from the character Rabbit in *The House at Pooh Corner*. It is a written note:

"I AM SCERCHING FOR A NEW HOUSE FOR OWL SO  
HAD YOU RABBIT." The creatures of 100 AKER  
WOOD are on a similar quest. It is a quest that  
captures the imaginations of millions of adults  
and children throughout the American and Brit-

ish empires. I am holding my mother's tattered copy of *Winnie-the-Pooh*, the one inscribed to her from Aunt Ellen on Christmas Day, 1926. The publisher's page shows that the first edition comes out in October. By November, the thirtieth edition has already left the printer and arrived in Cleveland. And here too is my mother's musty copy of *The House at Pooh Corner*. It has her name scrawled HOOKR in orange Crayola on the front-inside cover. The first printing is September 1928; the thirty-fourth, September 1928. Why does it sell so wildly? Rum-tum-tum-tiddle-um. The stories are fanciful, the language inventive, the "decorations" by Ernest Shepard priceless. I am particularly enamored of the use of CAPITALS, which appear throughout like good-natured parodies of Chaucer and Milton and Shakespeare. But as Eeyore announces in a hoarse whisper, "We have been joined by something." One current of literary criticism identifies deeper themes in children's stories—critiques of the British monarchy hidden within *Alice in Wonderland*, for instance. I wouldn't have seen it without Snowflake Martinez's sharp eye. The bears and donkeys and tigers and heffalumps of 100 AKER WOOD are not just from England. Like us, they hail from divergent habitats throughout the empire. Each is unique, some not even suited to the English countryside. And yet here they are, living in a land-based community (bioregional, you might venture to suggest)



hunting woozles, celebrating birthdays, surviving weather, writing songs about their lives, rebuilding homes, helping each other, being irritated with each other—doing all the things our ancestors did together, in a simple way, before imperialism and the industrial revolution and the global economy disrupted our ways of life. Doing all the things the readers of England and the United States would be missing round about 1926 and 1928, one hundred years after the great garden party of empire and industrialism melded land-based communities into mass technological society.

At the end, Christopher Robin is going away. Pooh and Piglet and Rabbit cannot imagine where—off the map, for all they know—and yet we the readers know he is being sent away to school. School where he will study “People called Kings and Queens and something called Factors, and a place called Europe, and an island in the middle of the sea where no ship came, and how to make a Suction Pump (if you want to), and when Knights were Knighted, and what comes from Brazil.” Not how to seek out breakfast in the forest, identify animal tracks in the snow, live through rainstorms and floods, give medicine to a child, sing songs of praise and honor. No. Christopher Robbin will study the knowledge of pink and mustard-yellow globalism.

Pooh is worried. He senses he will not be smart enough to understand what Christopher

Robbin will know when he returns. (And *this* from the bear who has written "all the Poetry of the Forest.") Christopher Robbin is also upset. "Pooh," he pleads, "*promise* you won't forget about me, ever. Not even when I'm a hundred."

The journey home severs us from empire's constructions of kings and suction pumps, of linear perspective and kilobits per second microwave. We make our own maps—maps not of parchment or cyberspace, but of twigs and corn husks, of shells and buckskin, of our bodies lying against the reverberations of history. Maps not of destinations, but of directions and currents, of visions and relationships. We place small stones on these maps to remind us of the strengths and awarenesses we must bring to the trek. Look at them carefully, study them, memorize. Take a full breath. Now: leave all the maps behind. We do not know precisely where we are going or how we will get there. But thank Creation, we are not alone any more.

**CHELLIS GLENDINNING** is a psychologist and author whose works include the Pulitzer-Prize-nominated *When Technology Wounds* (1990), the acclaimed *My Name is Chellis and I'm in Recovery from Western Civilization* (1994), and *Off the Map (An Expedition Deep into Imperialism, the Global Economy, and Other Earthly Whereabouts)* (1999) winner of the National Federation of Press Women 2000 book award. Her writings address both the ecological and human costs of technological progress and the psycho-spiritual promises offered by a renewed relationship to the natural world. A Phi Beta Kappa graduate from the University of California at Berkeley, she went on to receive a doctorate in psychology from Columbia Pacific University. Glendinning lives in the village of Chimayo, New Mexico, where she works with Chicano and Native people for environmental justice and cultural preservation.



## Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures

and Other Society Publications

available in pamphlet form

### List of Speakers

Gar Alperovitz, Donald Anderson, Thomas Berry, Elise Boulding, David Brower, George Davis, David Ehrenfeld, William Ellis, Chellis Glendinning, Hunter Hannum, Hazel Henderson, Ivan Illich, Dana Lee Jackson, Wes Jackson, Jane Jacobs, Leopold Kohr, Winona LaDuke, Frances Moore Lappé, Jerry Mander, John McClaughry, John McKnight, George McRobie, Deborah Meier, Stephanie Mills, John Mohawk, David Morris, Helena Norberg-Hodge, David Orr, Kirkpatrick Sale, William Schambra, Cathrine Sneed, Charlene Spretnak, Robert Swann, John Todd, Jakob von Uexkull, Greg Watson, Susan Witt, and Arthur Zajonc.

Pamphlets are \$5 each from the

E. F. Schumacher Society

140 Jug End Road, Great Barrington, MA 01230.

[www.schumachersociety.org](http://www.schumachersociety.org)

A complete publication list is available on request.

Many of the Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures

are collected in the book

*People, Land, and Community*

edited by Hildegard Hannum

(Yale University Press, 1997)

available at local booksellers.

## SMALL IS BEAUTIFUL

The twenty-fifth anniversary edition of *Small Is Beautiful: Economics as if People Mattered* from Hartley and Marks Publishers is now available. The book includes commentaries by David Brower, Herman Daly, David Ehrenfeld, Hazel Henderson, Wes Jackson, Jane Jacobs, Satish Kumar, Winona LaDuke, Amory Lovins, John McClaughry, Helena Norberg-Hodge, David Orr, Kirkpatrick Sale, Catherine Sneed, Robert Swann, Nancy Jack Todd, Susan Witt, and others. The essays in the book remain as relevant today as when they were written three decades ago.

Paul Hawken writes in his introduction to the new edition, "...I once had a Buddhist teacher who did not think much about spending one's life reading books. When asked which books I should read, he replied, 'Read the books that save you from reading others.' *Small Is Beautiful* is and has always been one of those rare books—a book that can inform a lifetime." The beautifully produced Hartley and Marks paperback is a wonderful way to introduce your friends to this classic work. Please support your local independent booksellers when ordering copies.

The first of these is that the book is not a  
straightforward history of the world. It is a  
study of the human mind, and of the way in which  
it has developed over the centuries. The book is  
written in a clear and concise style, and is  
easy to read. It is a book that should be  
read by everyone who is interested in the  
history of the human mind.

Another important feature of the book is that  
it is written by a man who is not only a  
historian, but also a philosopher. This gives the  
book a depth and a breadth that is not  
found in many other books of this kind. The  
author is able to see the connections between  
the different parts of the human mind, and to  
show how they have developed over time. This  
is a book that is both interesting and  
important. It is a book that should be  
read by everyone who is interested in the  
history of the human mind.

E. F. Schlegel, 1801-1860  
The book is written in a clear and concise style,  
and is easy to read. It is a book that should  
be read by everyone who is interested in the  
history of the human mind.





"The threefold crisis of which I have spoken will not go away if we simply carry on as before. It will become worse and end in disaster, until or unless we develop a new lifestyle which is compatible with the real needs of human nature, with the health of living nature around us, and with the resource endowment of the world."

—E. F. Schumacher, *Small Is Beautiful:  
Economics as if People Mattered*

Among the many contributions to the debate on economic policy, the works of E. F. Schumacher present a clear, integrated vision of a decentralized and sustainable economy that nourishes the earth and its inhabitants. The E. F. Schumacher Society, located in the southern Berkshire region of western Massachusetts, was founded in 1980 as a membership organization to implement Schumacher's ideas in practical programs for economic self-reliance. The Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures, sponsored by the Society, and the library of the E. F. Schumacher Center are acquainting a growing number of people with the promise and viability of Schumacher's concepts.

Contributions made to the E. F. Schumacher Society are tax deductible. Annual membership is \$50.



**E. F. Schumacher Society**

140 Jug End Road, Great Barrington, MA 01230

(413) 528-1737 <[www.schumachersociety.org](http://www.schumachersociety.org)>